IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 108 OF 2003

MRS. MARIA GEORGINA VAZ,AGASSAIM-GOA. ....Appellant

Versus

MR. SALVADOR DIAS AND ANR., ...Respondents

Shri P.P. Singh, Advocate for the Appellant.
Mr.E.Dias, Advocate for the Respondents.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA, J.

Date:- 5th October, 2005

P.C.:

Heard Shri P.P. Singh, the learned counsel for the appellant and Shri E. Dias, the learned counsel for the respondents.

I considered the registration certificate (Exh.PW1/G) upon which reliance was placed by the learned counsel for the appellant.

Having considered the said certificate minutely, I find that the case of the appellant that the southern portion of the entire property was allotted to the her father in the Inventory proceedings cannot be said to have been wrongly rejected by the appellate Court.

The finding recorded by the two Courts below that the plaintiff has failed to establish his ownership over the suit property is concurrent and concluded on facts.

No substantial question of law arises in the Second Appeal. Second Appeal is dismissed in limine.

R. M. LODHA, J.

sl.